UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/670,902 | 09/25/2003 | Karen M. Braun | A2227-US-NP | 6024 |
| 75931 BASCH & NIC | 7590 04/24/200 KERSON LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 1777 PENFIELD ROAD | | | DHINGRA, PAWANDEEP | |
| PENFIELD, NY 14526 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2625 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/24/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision |
|---------------------------------|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief |
| Review |

| Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination | ent under |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| 10/670,902 | BRAUN, KAREN M. | |
| | Art Unit | |
| PAWANDEEP S. DHINGRA | 2625 | |
| | | |

| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal | Brief Request for Review filed 23 March 2009. |
|---|---|
| Improper Request – The R reason(s): | equest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following |
| ☐ The request does not incl | not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. |
| | se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received. |
| held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the not | nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been ler appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ble. |
| ☐ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3, 5-17. Claim(s) withdrawn from continuous | ed the status of the claim(s) is as follows: |
| | conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by |
| | onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time. |
| All participants: | |
| (1) <u>PAWANDEEP S. DHINGRA</u> . | (3) <u>King Poon</u> . |
| (2) <u>David Moore</u> . | (4) |
| /P. D./ Examiner, Art Unit 2625 | /David K Moore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625 |